

SANDERS LAW GROUP

Craig B. Sanders, Esq.
333 Earle Ovington Blvd, Suite 402,
Uniondale, New York 11553
Tel: (516) 203-7600
Email: csanders@sanderslaw.group
File No.: 124729
Attorneys for Plaintiff

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

Jana Romanova,

Plaintiff,

v.

Amilus Inc.,

Defendant.

Case No:

COMPLAINT

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff Jana Romanova (“*Plaintiff*”), by and through its undersigned counsel, for its Complaint against defendant Amilus Inc. (“*Defendant*”) states and alleges as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. This action seeks to recover damages for copyright infringement.
2. Plaintiff herein creates photographic images and owns the rights to these images which Plaintiff licenses for various uses including online and print publications.
3. Defendant owns and operates a website known as www.ai-ap.com (the “*Website*”).
4. Defendant, without permission or authorization from Plaintiff actively copied, stored, and/or displayed Plaintiff’s Photograph on the Website and engaged in this misconduct knowingly and in violation of the United States copyright laws.

PARTIES

5. Plaintiff Jana Romanova is an individual who is a citizen of The Netherlands

1 and maintains a principal place of business at Thijssestraat 46, The Hague, South Holland in
2 The Netherlands.

3 6. Upon information and belief, Defendant Amilus Inc., is a New York corporation
4 with a principal place of business at 5 East 16th Street, Manhattan in New York City, New York
5 and is liable and responsible to Plaintiff based on the facts herein alleged.

6 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

7 7. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the federal copyright
8 infringement claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1338(a) and 28 U.S.C. §1331.

9 8. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Amilus Inc. because it maintains its
10 principal place of business in New York.

11 9. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. §1391(a)(2) because Amilus Inc. does business
12 in this Judicial District and/or because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise
13 to the claim occurred in this Judicial District.

14 **FACTS COMMON TO ALL CLAIMS**

15 10. Plaintiff is a professional photographer by trade who is the legal and rightful
16 owners of photographs which Plaintiff licenses to online and print publications.

17 11. Plaintiff has invested significant time and money in building Plaintiff's
18 photograph portfolio.

19 12. Plaintiff has obtained active and valid copyright registrations from the United
20 States Copyright Office (the "USCO") which cover many of Plaintiff's photographs while many
21 others are the subject of pending copyright applications.

22 13. Plaintiff attempted to contact Defendant Amilus Inc. to address the infringement
23 of Plaintiff's copyright protected work so as to obviate the need for Plaintiff to request judicial
24 intervention.

25 14. Plaintiff notified Defendant, via its counsel, by email and physical mail, of its
26 infringing activities on April 18, 2022 to no avail.

27 15. Plaintiff, via its counsel, again notified Defendant of its infringing activities on
28

June 21, 2022 to no avail.

16. Plaintiff, through Defendant's failure to respond to Plaintiff's multiple contact attempts to address Defendant's infringing activities, is now forced to request judicial intervention by the Court to address Defendant's infringing activities.

17. Plaintiff's photographs are original, creative works in which Plaintiff owns protectable copyright interests.

18. Amilus Inc. is the registered owner of the Website and is responsible for its content.

19. Amilus Inc. is the operator of the Website and is responsible for its content.

20. The Website is monetized in that it sells merchandise to the public and, on information and belief, Defendant profits from these activities.

21. On September 29, 2017, Plaintiff authored a photograph of a woman with a snake intertwined onto a portion of her torso and arm (the "*Photograph*"). A copy of the Photograph is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.

22. Plaintiff applied to the USCO to register the Photograph on or about October 3, 2017 under Application No. 1-5872701961.

23. The Photograph was registered by the USCO on October 3, 2017 under Registration No. VA 2-071-921.

24. On December 26, 2019, Plaintiff first observed the Photograph on the Website in a story dated December 31, 2017. A copy of screengrab of the Website including the Photograph is attached hereto as Exhibit 2.

25. The Photograph was displayed at URL: <https://www.ai-ap.com/publications/article/22624/trending-dogs-cats-and-other-pets-to-start.html>.

26. The Photograph was stored at URL: https://s3.amazonaws.com/media.ai-ap.com/dam/cropped/2017/12/19/9-snake_LkxgslJ.jpg

27. Without permission or authorization from Plaintiff, Defendant volitionally selected, copied, stored and/or displayed Plaintiff's copyright protected Photograph as is set

1 forth in Exhibit “1” on the Website.

2 28. Upon information and belief, the Photograph was copied, stored and displayed
3 without license or permission, thereby infringing on Plaintiff’s copyrights (hereinafter the
4 “*Infringement*”).

5 29. The Infringement includes a URL (“*Uniform Resource Locator*”) for a fixed
6 tangible medium of expression that was sufficiently permanent or stable to permit it to be
7 communicated for a period of more than a transitory duration and therefore constitutes a specific
8 infringement. *17 U.S.C. §106(5); Perfect 10, Inc. v. Amazon.com, Inc.* 508 F.3d 1146, 1160 (9th
9 Cir. 2007).

10 30. The Infringement is an exact copy of the entirety and/or vast majority of
11 Plaintiff’s original image that was directly copied and stored by Defendant on the Website.

12 31. Upon information and belief, Defendant takes an active and pervasive role in the
13 content posted on its Website, including, but not limited to copying, posting, selecting,
14 commenting on and/or displaying images including but not limited to Plaintiff’s Photograph.

15 32. Upon information and belief, Defendant directly contributes to the content
16 posted on the Website by, inter alia, directly employing reporters, authors and editors as its
17 agents, including but not limited to David Schonauer who is listed by Defendant’s Website as
18 a contributor and writer (“*Employees*”).

19 33. Upon information and belief, at all material times the Employees were acting
20 within the course and scope of their employment when they posted the Infringement.

21 34. Upon information and belief, at all material times the Employees were acting
22 within the course and scope of their agency when they posted the Infringement.

23 35. Upon information and belief, the Photograph was willfully and volitionally
24 posted to the Website by Defendant.

25 36. On April 18, 2022, Plaintiff notified Defendant concerning the Infringement.
26 On June 21, 2022, Plaintiff sent a second notification to Defendant concerning the Infringement.
27 Despite these notifications, Defendant continues to infringe on the Photograph thereby
28

1 demonstrating dispositively Defendant's willful intent to infringe.

2 37. Upon information and belief, Defendant is not registered with the United States
3 Copyright Office pursuant to 17 U.S.C. §512.

4 38. Upon information and belief, Defendant has the legal right and ability to control
5 and limit the infringing activities on its Website and exercised and/or had the right and ability
6 to exercise such right.

7 39. Upon information and belief, Defendant monitors the content on its Website.

8 40. Upon information and belief, Defendant has received a financial benefit directly
9 attributable to the Infringement.

10 41. Upon information and belief, the Infringement increased traffic to the Website
11 and, in turn, caused Defendant to realize an increase in its merchandise sales.

12 42. Upon information and belief, a large number of people have viewed the unlawful
13 copies of the Photograph on the Website.

14 43. Upon information and belief, Defendant at all times had the ability to stop the
15 reproduction and display of Plaintiff's copyrighted material.

16 44. Defendant's use of the Photograph, if widespread, would harm Plaintiff's
17 potential market for the Photograph.

18 45. As a result of Defendant's misconduct, Plaintiff has been substantially harmed.

19 **FIRST COUNT**

20 ***(Direct Copyright Infringement, 17 U.S.C. §501 et seq.)***

21 46. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference the allegations contained in the
22 preceding paragraphs, as though set forth in full herein.

23 47. The Photograph is an original, creative works in which Plaintiff owns valid
24 copyright properly registered with the United States Copyright Office.

25 48. Plaintiff has not licensed Defendant the right to use the Photograph in any
26 manner, nor has Plaintiff assigned any of its exclusive rights in the copyrights to Defendant.

27 49. Without permission or authorization from Plaintiff and in willful violation of
28

1 Plaintiff's rights under 17 U.S.C. §106, Defendant improperly and illegally copied, stored,
2 reproduced, distributed, adapted, and/or publicly displayed works copyrighted by Plaintiff
3 thereby violating one of Plaintiff's exclusive rights in its copyrights.

4 50. Defendant's reproduction of the Photograph and display of the Photograph
5 constitutes willful copyright infringement. *Feist Publications, Inc. v. Rural Telephone Service*
6 *Co., Inc.*, 499 U.S. 340, 361 (1991).

7 51. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that the Defendant
8 willfully infringed upon Plaintiff's copyrighted Photograph in violation of Title 17 of the U.S.
9 Code, in that they used, published, communicated, posted, publicized, and otherwise held out
10 to the public for commercial benefit, the original and unique Photograph of the Plaintiff without
11 Plaintiff's consent or authority, by using it in the infringing article on the Website.

12 52. As a result of Defendant's violations of Title 17 of the U.S. Code, Plaintiff is
13 entitled to an award of actual damages and disgorgement of all of Defendant's profits
14 attributable to the infringements as provided by 17 U.S.C. § 504 in an amount to be proven or,
15 in the alternative, at Plaintiff's election, an award for statutory damages against Defendant for
16 each infringement pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(c).

17 53. As a result of the Defendant's violations of Title 17 of the U.S. Code, the court in
18 its discretion may allow the recovery of full costs as well as reasonable attorney's fees and costs
19 pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 505 from Defendant.

20 54. As a result of Defendant's violations of Title 17 of the U.S. Code, Plaintiff is
21 entitled to injunctive relief to prevent or restrain infringement of his copyright pursuant to 17
22 U.S.C. § 502.

23 **JURY DEMAND**

24 55. Plaintiff hereby demands a trial of this action by jury.

25 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

26 **WHEREFORE** Plaintiff respectfully requests judgment as follows:

27 That the Court enters a judgment finding that Defendant has infringed on Plaintiff's
28

rights to the Photograph in violation of 17 U.S.C. §501 et seq. and award damages and monetary relief as follows:

- a. finding that Defendant infringed upon Plaintiff's copyright interest in the Photograph by copying and displaying without a license or consent;
- b. for an award of actual damages and disgorgement of all of Defendant's profits attributable to the infringement as provided by 17 U.S.C. § 504 in an amount to be proven or, in the alternative, at Plaintiff's election, an award for statutory damages against Defendant for each infringement pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(c), whichever is larger;
- c. for an order pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 502(a) enjoining Defendant from any infringing use of any of Plaintiff's works;
- d. for costs of litigation and reasonable attorney's fees against Defendant pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 505;
- e. for pre judgment interest as permitted by law; and
- f. for any other relief the Court deems just and proper.

DATED: October 20, 2022

SANDERS LAW GROUP

By: /s/ Craig B. Sanders
Craig B. Sanders, Esq.
333 Earle Ovington Blvd, Suite 402,
Uniondale, New York 11553
Tel: (516) 203-7600
Email: csanders@sanderslaw.group
File No.: 124729

Attorneys for Plaintiff